

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/697,006	Applicant(s) NOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew D. Hoel	Art Unit 3714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.

(2) Carl Schaukowitch, atty.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 November 2006

**Time:** A.M.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Discussed changing "floral" in Claim 1, Line 28 to "plural," as it was a typographical error. Mr. Schaukowitch approved the change.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)